

IMG 222

Microbiological criteria and sampling plan



Norlia Mahror 22122020

Content

Microbiological criteria

Sampling plan

DEFINITION

'Microbiological criterion' means a criterion defining the acceptability of a product, process, or food lot.

The criteria are based on:



Absence or Presence



Number of



The quantity of their microorganism toxins/metabolites in samples per unit(s) of mass, volume, area or lot.



Microbiological criteria give guidance on the acceptability of foodstuffs and their manufacturing processes.

A judgment or decision can be made by the food businesses and competent authorities to manage and monitor the safety of foodstuffs based on the criteria.



5 components of microbiological criteria

A statement of food to which the criterion applies.	A statement of the organisms of concern and/or their toxins	The analytical methods for their detection and quantitation	Number and size of samples taken from a batch of food or a point in a processing line	Mic app	robio limit propria the fo	logio t s ate fo ood	or
				Probiotic strain category	Test	Method	Acceptance criteria (CFU/g)
				Contaminant micr	oorganisms	190 19550/	Not more then
			sampling	Non-spore-ronning	bacteria	IDF 153	5×10^3
		2 Parts			Total yeasts and molds	USP <2021>	Not more than 100
		Adding the second second	population	Spore-forming	Total yeasts and molds		Not more than 100
	Cost BU		sample	Yeasts and molds	Total aerobic microbial count		Not more than 1×10^3
				Specified microor	ganisms		
				Non-spore-forming, spore-forming,	Escherichia coli Salmonella spp.	USP <2022>	None detected in 10 g

yeasts and molds

ogical

Microbiological criteria may be established and used by:



Food business operators

E.g. Food manufacturer used MC to validate and verify their food safety management procedures, or assessing the acceptability of their foodstuffs, handling, and distribution

National Authorities.

E.g. Ministry of Health. MC is used to set national baselines/ limits for certain microbial contaminants

Two categories of microbiological criteria:

Mandatory

A microbiological standard that should contain **limits only for pathogens** of public health significance

Food exceeded the limit may be rejected, destroyed, reprocessed etc..

Advisory

A microbiological end product specification intended to increase assurance that hygienic significance has been met

A microbiological guideline applied to **monitor hygiene**

Types of microbiological criteria

Microbiological standards:

A mandatory criterion that is part of a law or regulations. It is a legal requirement that foods must meet and is enforceable by the appropriate regulatory agency.

Microbiological guidelines:

Microbiological criteria which provide advice to food manufacturers about acceptable or expected microbial levels when the food production process is under control when applying best practices (e.g. GMP, HACCP).

Microbiological specifications:

Contractual agreements between a manufacturer and a buyer to check whether the food are of required quality. Failure of the supplier to meet the specification will result in rejection of the batch or a lower price



Microbiological	standard	ls				TABLE II MYCOLOGICAL CONTAMI	NANT
				(1) Food		(2) Mycological Contaminant	(3) Maximum permitted proportion ir microgram per kilogram (μg/kg)
			Groundnuts processing	for	further	Aflatoxin	15
Fifte	enth Schedule (Regulati	ion 39)	Milk			Aflatoxin	0.5
MICROOF	GANISMS AND THEIR	TOXINS	Others			Aflatoxin or any other mycotoxins	5
	TABLE I						
MICROBIOLOFICAL STANDARD							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
Food	Total Plate Count at 37°C for 48 hr.	Coliform Count at 37°C for 48 hr.	<u>Escherichia</u> <u>coli</u> Count				
Pasteurized milk, pasteurized cream and milk powder (including full cream and skim milk powder)	10⁵ per g or per ml.	5x10 per g or per ml		-			
Ice cream	5x10 ⁴ per g	100 per g	Absent in 1 g				
Meat and meat product ready for consumption, excluding meat and meat product in hermetically sealed containers.	10 ⁶ per g	5x10 per g					
Fish and fish product ready for consumption, excluding fish and fish product in hermetically sealed containers.	10 ⁶ per g	5x10 per g					
Infant Formula	10 ⁴ per g	10 per g					
Liquid egg, liquid egg yolk and liquid egg white.	5x10 ⁴ per g	5x10 per g			Mic	crobiological standard	in Malaysia
Dried liquid egg, dried liquid egg yolk and dried liquid eag white.	5x10 ⁴ per g	5x10 per g					

Microbiological guideline

TPC: Quality indicator

- Guideline is used when there is no established microbiological standard
- To reflect the safety and hygienic quality of the food
- Provide assistance to officers in the interpretation of microbiological analyses and give recommendation on the appropriate follow-up action to monitor & control food safety
- E.g. TPC, hygiene indicator organism, specific foodborne pathogens
- Classification: satisfactory, borderline, unsatisfactory

Food Category ^a	Examples	Result (colony-forming unit (cfu)/g)			
		Satisfactory	Borderline	Unsatisfactory	
1. Ambient stable canned, bottled,	Canned products such as tuna, salmon, corned beef, soups, stews, desserts				
cartoned and pouched foods immediately	and fruit; ultra-high-temperature (UHT) products	<10	N/A	Note ^c	
after removal from container ^b					
2. Foods cooked immediately prior to	Takeaway food, burgers, kebabs, sausages, pizza, ready meals (cook/chill	$< 10^{3}$	$10^3 < 10^5$	>105	
sale or consumption	and cook/freeze) after regeneration, dim sum, rice, noodles	<10	10 -<10	≥10	
3. Cooked foods chilled but with	Whole pies, sausage rolls, samosas, flans, quiches, chicken portions;				
minimum handling prior to sale or	canned ham requiring refrigeration, pasteurised foods including fruit juice	$< 10^{4}$	$10^4 < 10^7$	$>10^{7}$	
consumption; canned pasteurised foods	and soups; desserts	<10	10 -<10	210	
requiring refrigeration					
4. Bakery and confectionery products	Cakes without dairy cream, soup powders, milk powder, powdered dairy				
without dairy cream, powdered foods	products, other reconstituted powdered foods ready to eat after	$< 10^{4}$	$10^4 - < 10^6$	$\geq 10^{6}$	
	reconstitution or warming				
5. Cooked foods chilled but with some	Sliced meats, cut pies, pâté, sandwiches without salad, hot smoked fish				
handling prior to sale or consumption	(mackerel, etc.), molluscs, crustaceans and other shellfish out of shell,	<10 ⁵	$10^5 < 10^7$	> 107	
_	non-prepackaged cold beverages with solid ingredients but without dairy	~10	10 -<10	≥10	
	components (iced green tea with red bean, etc.)				

Coliforms, *E. coli*: Hygiene indicator

Table 1.2 Cuidanas an thaintan	www.tatiow of waarulta fo	w herei an a in dia ata	······································	dry to ast food in monousl
Table 1 3 Gilldance on the inter	aretation of results to	r nvoiene indicato	r arganisms in reg	adv-to-eat tood in general
Table 1.5 Outdance on the meet	pretation of results to	I hygiche mulcato	i organismis in rea	iay to cat lood in general

lugione indicator organism	Result (colony-forming unit (cfu)/g)						
ygiene indicator organism	Satisfactory	Borderline	Unsatisfactory				
Enterobacteriaceae ^a	$< 10^{2}$	$10^2 - \le 10^4$	$> 10^4$				
scherichia coli ^b	<20	$20 - \le 10^2$	$> 10^2$				

To be implemented when the testing capacity for this criterion is ready.

Source: Microbiological Guidelines for Food, Centre for Food Safety, Hong Kong

	Result (colony-forming unit (cfu)/g unless otherwise specified)						
Criterion	Satisfactory	Borderline	Unsatisfactory: potentially injurious to health and/or unfit for human consumption				
Campylobacter spp. (thermotolerant)	n.d. in 25g	N/A	Detected in 25g				
Escherichia coli O157 (and [*] other Shiga	n.d. in 25g	N/A	Detected in 25g				
toxin-producing E. coli (STEC))							
Salmonella spp.	n.d. in 25g	N/A	Detected in 25g				
Vibrio cholerae (O1 and O139)	n.d. in 25g	N/A	Detected in 25g				
Shigella spp. ^a	n.d. in 25g	N/A	Detected in 25g				
Listeria monocytogenes			-				
 For refrigerated food ^b (excluding frozen food) or food intended for infants 	n.d. in 25g °	N/A	Detected in 25g °				
• For other ready-to-eat food	$< 10^{-d}$	$10 - \le 100^{\text{ d}}$	> 100 ^d				
Vibrio parahaemolyticus	< 20	$20 - \le 10^3$	$> 10^{3}$				
Staphylococcus aureus and other	< 20	$20 - \le 10^4$	$> 10^4$				
coagulase-positive staphylococci							
Clostridium perfringens	< 10	$10 - \le 10^4$	$> 10^4$				
Bacillus cereus	$< 10^{3}$	$10^3 - \le 10^5$	$> 10^{5}$				

Table 2.1 Guidance on the interpretation of results for specific foodborne pathogens in ready-to-eat food in general

n.d. = not detected; N/A = not applicable

* To be implemented when the testing capacity for this criterion is ready.

Microbiological specification

Infant Formula

Parameter		n	с	m	м	Analytical unit
Pathogen(s)	Salmonella C. sakazakii	60 30	0	0 0		25g 10g
Hygiene indicators	Aerobic mesophilic counts Enterobacteriaceae	5 10	0 0	500 0		1g 10g
Confectionery						
Pathogen(s)	Salmonella	10	0	0		25g
Hygiene indicators	Aerobic mesophilic counts Enterobacteriaceae	5 5	0 0	10 ⁴ 10		1g 1g

An illustrative example of different stringencies applied to microbiological specifications. RM – sucrose used for infant formula (no further kill step) and used for confectionery (used as wet mix; further microbial kill step applied).

Example:

Microbiological specification of raw material (sucrose) used for infant formula and confectionary

(Source: NESTLE)



When the microbiological criteria are needed?

- Evidence of actual or potential hazards to health
- Effect of further processing on the likely microbiological status of the food and intended use of the product
- Likelihood and consequences of microbial contamination and/or growth during subsequent handling, storage and use

SAMPLING PLAN

- A statement of the criteria of acceptance applied to a lot based on appropriate examinations of a required number of sample units by specified methods
- Consists of a sampling procedure and decision criteria and may be a two-class or a three-class plan
- Sampling plan measure compliance with performance standard. E.g. Salmonella



SAMPLING PLAN

Zero tolerance policies for food pathogens

Assumption for sampling

- *E. coli* O157:H7 (325 grams raw product)
- Non-O157 Shiga toxin producing E. coli (325g N=60 trim sample)
- L. monocytogenes (25 grams RTE product, presence in food contact surface swab, 25 grams pasteurized egg product)
- Salmonella (325 grams RTE product or 100 grams pasteurized egg product

- Uniform manufacturing conditions
- Equal probability of contamination throughout the lot ("homogeneous distribution")
- Independent, random sampling (equal probability of sampling throughout the lot)

International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods ICMSF (1974) suggested 2 types of sampling plan:

Two class plan Three class plan

SAMPLING PLAN

Two class plan

- Two attributes, i.e, presence or absence of an organism in a given sampling unit.
- Applied for more hazardous organisms. e.g. *Clostridium botulinum*.
- Two-class plan: n, c, m

Three class plan

- Three attributes and can divide a lot into three categories: acceptable (n,m);
 unacceptable (>M) and marginally acceptable(C).
- Three-class plan: n, c, m, M

n: number of sample unit from a lot

c: max acceptable number of sample that exceed microbiological criteria

m: max number of bacteria per gram

M: quantity to prepare acceptable quality vs unacceptable quality (max permitted level)

Two Class Plan

- > Used to accept or reject a larger batch of food
- > eg. **n=5, c=0, m=0**
- 5 individual units of the lot must be free from organism of concern)
- If any unit is positive, the entire lot will be rejected.
- n: number of sample unit c: max acceptable number of sample m: max number of bacteria per gram



The microbiological limit (m) separates the quality of a lot between acceptable and unacceptable in a two-class plan

Two Class Plan

> n=5, c=2, m=100/gram

If 100 coliforms/g is allowed in 2/5 units. After the five units have been examined for coliforms, the lot is acceptable if no more than 2/5 contain as many as 100 coliforms/g

n: number of sample unitc: max acceptable number of samplem: max number of bacteria per gram



The microbiological limit (m) separates the quality of a lot between acceptable and unacceptable in a two-class plan

Three Class Plan

- Frequently used to examine for hygience indicator
- Such plan can be used to separate the quality of a lot into acceptable, marginally acceptable, and unacceptable
- Eg: Standard plate count (SPC) shall not exceed 10⁶/g (M) or be higher than 10⁵/g from three or more of five units.
- > n=5, c=2, m=10⁵, M=10⁶.
- If any of the 5 units exceeds 10⁶/g, the entire lot is rejected.
- If less than c sample (2) units give results above m, the lot is acceptable.



The microbiological limits (m and M) separate the quality of a lot between acceptable, marginally acceptable and unacceptable in a three-class plan

Foodborne Hazards

Three types of foodborne hazards have been recognized

- 1. Severe hazards: Due to potentially hazardous organisms Eg: C. botulinum
- 2. Moderate hazards:
 - organisms having extensive spread: Eg. Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC)
 - organisms with limited spread: Eg. S. aureus
- 3. Low hazards:
 - organisms causing mild hazard or non-pathogenic organisms responsible for food spoilage
 - Eg. yeast and moulds.

		Conditions in which food is expected to be handled and consumed after sampling in the usual course of events					
Degre	e of concern relative to utility and health hazard	Conditions reduce degree of concern	Conditions cause no change in concern	Conditions may Increase concern			
Utility: General contamination, reduced shelf life, incipient Spoilage		Increase shelf life Case 1 Three class n=5, c=3	No change Case 2 Three class n=5, c=2	Reduce shelf life Case 3 Three class n=5, c=1			
Indicator: Low, indirect hazard		Reduce hazard Case 4 Three class n=5, c=3	No change Case 5 Three class n=5, c=2	Increase hazard Case 6 Three class n=5, c=1			
Moo threateni short dur	derate hazard: Not usually life ing, usually no sequelae, normally of ation, symptoms self-limiting, can be severe discomfort	Case 7 Three class n=5, c=2	Case 8 Three class n=5, c=1	Case 9 Three class n=10, c=1			
	Serious hazard: Incapacitating but not usually life threatening, sequelae are rare, moderate duration	Case 10 Two class n=5, c=0	Case 11 Two class n=10, c=0	Case 12 Two class n=20, c=0			
Sample size 25g	Severe hazard: For the general population or in foods targeted for susceptible populations, causing life threatening or substantial chronic sequelae or illness of long duration	Case 13 Two class n=15, c=0	Case 14 Two class n=30, c=0	Case 15 Two class n=60, c=0			



Interrelationship between n and c for microbiological specification stringency

Example of microbiological criteria and sampling plans

Taken from US-Codex Committee on Food Safety

EXAMPLE: MILK POWDER (point in the food chain where MC is applied)

- Milk powder for import/export inspection by national authorities verification of lot acceptability
- Milk powder for lot acceptance by food business operators - purchasing from a supplier
- Milk powder at point of manufacture as a verification of process control



Organism of concern

- Hygiene Criteria:
 - Mesophilic aerobic microorganisms (TPC)
 - Enterobacteriaceae (Coliforms, fecal coliforms)
- Food Safety Criterion
 Salmonella spp.

The relationship between *Salmonella*, pathogenic *E. coli* (*E. coli* O157/STEC) and the commonly used *Enterobacteriaceae* hygiene indicator, and related hygiene indicators



Rationale for the organisms selected (food safety)

- Epidemiological data suggest that the only significant hazard to be controlled during manufacturing of dried products is Salmonella.
- Staphylococcus aureus or Bacillus cereus are only present sporadically at very low levels or occur as a result of major breakdowns of GHP.
- Mesophilic Aerobic Microorganisms indicators of general contamination, shelf life or spoilage; not usually related to a health hazard
- Enterobacteriaceae high numbers frequently indicate inadequacy of general hygiene

n: number of sample unit from a lot

c: max acceptable number of sample that exceed microbiological criteria

m: max number of bacteria per gram

M: quantity to prepare acceptable quality vs unacceptable quality (max permitted level)

Sampling Plan

Organism	Analytic al unit	n	С	m	М
Mesophilic Aerobic Microorganisms	10 g	5	2	10 ⁴ CFU/g	10 ⁵ CFU/g
Enterobacteria- ceae	10 g	5	2	< 3 MPN/g	9.4 MPN/g
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	25 g	10	0	Not detected in 25 g	



METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Mesophilic aerobic colony count: ISO 4833

Enterobacteriaceae: ISO 21528-1 (MPN technique)

Salmonella spp.: ISO 6785 (Milk and milk products --Detection of Salmonella spp.) or ISO 6579 (Horizontal method for the detection of Salmonella spp.)

Two-class plan m Acceptable Unacceptable

Interpretation of results

Salmonella

- Satisfactory if all values observed indicate the absence of the bacterium; the lot is accepted
- Unsatisfactory if the presence of the bacterium is detected in any of the sample units; the lot is rejected

The microbiological limit (m) separates the quality of a lot between acceptable and unacceptable in a two-class plan

Organism	Analytic al unit	n	С	m	м
Mesophilic Aerobic Microorganisms	10 g	5	2	10⁴ CFU/g	10 ⁵ CFU/g
Enterobacteria- ceae	10 g	5	2	< 3 MPN/g	9.4 MPN/g
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	25 g	10	0	Not detected in 25 g	

n: number of sample unit from a lot

c: max acceptable number of sample that exceed microbiological criteria

m: max number of bacteria per gram

M: quantity to prepare acceptable quality vs unacceptable quality (max permitted level)

Interpretation of results

Mesophilic aerobic colony count and/or Enterobacteriaceae

- Satisfactory, if all the values observed are ≤ m; the lot is accepted
- Acceptable, if a maximum of c units
 (2) have values that are between m and M and the rest of the values observed are ≤ m; the lot is accepted
- Unsatisfactory if one or more of the values observed is > M or more than c units (2) are between m and M; the lot is rejected



The microbiological limits (m and M) separate the quality of a lot between acceptable, marginally acceptable and unacceptable in a three-class plan

Organism	Analytic al unit	n	С	m	М
Mesophilic Aerobic Microorganisms	10 g	5	2	10⁴ CFU/g	10 ⁵ CFU/g
Enterobacteria- ceae	10 g	5	2	< 3 MPN/g	9.4 MPN/g
Salmonella spp.	25 g	10	0	Not detected in 25 g	

n: number of sample unit from a lot

c: max acceptable number of sample that exceed microbiological criteria

m: max number of bacteria per gram

M: quantity to prepare acceptable quality vs unacceptable quality (max permitted level)

Actions in case of non-compliance (Food business operators)

Salmonella criterion	Salmonella criterion
 Food business operators purchasing from a supplier Notify supplier Do not use the milk powder If the product has been shipped, recall the product Determine appropriate steps with respect to the supplier 	 Food business operators manufacturing the milk powder 1. prevent the affected lot from being released for human consumption 2. recall the product if it has been released for human consumption 3. determine and correct the root cause of the failure.

Actions in case of non-compliance (National authorities)

Salmonella criterion

- Prevent the affected lot from being released for human consumption
- Ensure recall of product if it has been released for human consumption
- Reject lot at port of entry.



Actions in case of non-compliance (Food business operators)

Mesophilic aerobic microorganisms and/or Enterobacteriaceae	Mesophilic aerobic microorganisms and/or Enterobacteriaceae
Food business operators purchasing from a supplier	Food business operators manufacturing the milk powder
1. Notify the supplier	1. Check on the efficacy of heat treatment and controls for prevention of
2. Determine appropriate disposition of the non-compliant lot (e.g. refuse	 Determine and correct the rest cause of
lot or accept marginal quality lot,	the failure and
depending on business contractual arrangements)	3. Review and revise monitoring procedures, environmental surveillance and prerequisite programs.

Actions in case of non-compliance (National authorities)

Mesophilic aerobic microorganisms and/or Enterobacteriaceae

- 1. Reject lot at port of entry (destroy at port of entry or return to country of origin)
- 2. Notify the manufacturing facility to take corrective actions with respect to hygiene practices and to verify the efficacy of heat treatment and procedures to prevent recontamination
- 3. Reject lot as part of domestic food control procedures; allow food business operator to destroy or rework





Thank You